

an afterthought, desires further cross-examination, the witness cannot be recalled casually. The application does not show give any cogent reason. Even otherwise, if the evidence of the respondent is in variance with the written statement, as sought to be established before me, it can always be argued during the trial to be considered on its own merits. As regards production of Consent Terms are concerned, it is something which the respondent no.2 relies upon in furtherance of his case and therefore, it is up to the respondent no.2 to produce the same if it is necessary. In the circumstances, since substantial rights of the petitioner are affected, there is no reason to interfere with the impugned order. The Writ Petition is accordingly rejected.

(N. M. JAMDAR, J.)