

mm

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11867 OF 2016

Khudbuddin Dada Mulani

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Kiran Nikam i/b. Mr. Rajaram V. Bansode,

Advocate for the Petitioner

Ms. Nisha Mehra, AGP for the State

CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &

M.S. KARNIK, JJ.

DATED : 17TH OCTOBER, 2016

PC. :

Matter is not on board. In view of the urgency taken up
in the production board.

2. Parties through their Counsel. Today when the matter
came up for hearing learned Counsel for the Petitioner
submits that the Petition be disposed of by directing
Respondent No.2 to decide the proposal submitted by
Respondent No.3 Education Institute before him for
continuation of the Petitioner as Assistant Teacher and for

approval of the same. He submits that the proposal is pending since long, but till date has not been decided.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid submission and proposal **Exhibit-H** we dispose of the Petition directing Respondent No.2 to decide on merits the proposal for continuation of the Petitioner and take decision on the proposal submitted by the Respondent No.3 as expeditiously as possible but not later than 3 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(M.S. KARNIK, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)