

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO.1603 OF 2016

Vishwanath Maranna Shetty	...	Applicant
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

Mr. Ashwin Thool i/b. Ms Reshma Mutha for Applicant.
Ms Aruna Pai, APP for Respondent-State.
Mr. A. D. Ghadigaonkar, PSI, DCB, CID Unit 4 is present.

CORAM : R. G. KETKAR, J.
DATE : OCTOBER 07, 2016

ORDER :

Heard Mr. Thool, learned Counsel for the applicant and Ms Pai, learned APP for respondent-State of Maharashtra.

2. By this Application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, applicant has prayed for enlarging him on bail in connection with M.C.O.C.A. Special Case No.10 of 2010 arising out of C.R.No.60 of 2010 registered by D.C.B. C.I.D. Unit VI on such terms and conditions as this Court deems fit and proper.

3. Ms Pai states that though the prosecution intended to examine 168 witnesses, presently prosecution has decided to examine 80 witnesses. Out of these 80 witnesses, recording of evidence of 23 witnesses is over. She further submits that the trial is conducted on day-to-day basis.

4. Ms Pai has also invited my attention to order dated 30.08.2016 passed by this Court in Criminal Bail Application No.248 of 2016 filed by other co-accused Rajendra Rohidas Chavan. Mr. Thool states that if

the liberty is given to move the trial Court after three months, he will not press this Application.

5. Having regard to the fact that as many as 57 witnesses are yet to be examined, applicant is at liberty to move the trial Court after 6 months, depending upon the progress of the trial Court. Subject to this, Application is disposed of as not pressed with liberty to move the trial Court after 6 months.

(R. G. KETKAR, J.)

Minal Parab