

pdp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 3170 OF 2015

Mrs. Meghna Amit Upadhyay

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. State of Maharashtra and ors.

.. Respondents

Mr. Ahmad Abdi i/ Abdi & Co. for petitioner.

Mr. J. P. Yagnik, APP for State.

Mr. V. M. Advani for respondent nos.3 and 4.

CORAM: NARESH H. PATIL &
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.

AUGUST 29, 2016.

P.C.

1. Heard. We have perused the prayer clauses and complaint at page 101, filed by respondent no. 3 - Ritu Malik. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that as the husband of the petitioner was in custody, wife of the petitioner filed present petition. It is submitted that husband of the petitioner is now released from custody. Learned counsel submits that in accordance with the procedure established under the Cr. P.C., appropriate steps would be taken for making grievances / filing complaint.

2. Learned APP Mr. Yagnik, submits that if a complaint is filed with the police, necessary decision will be taken on the complaint filed on its own merits.

3. We observe that husband of the petitioner is at liberty to resort to alternate remedy in accordance with law.

4. Keeping all issues on merits open, petition is disposed of.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL,J.)