

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.66 OF 2013
WITH
APPLICATION NO. 29 OF 2013**

Anil M. Jain and Another	...Petitioner
vs.	
State of Maharashtra and Others	...Respondents

None for the Petitioner

Mr. Ranjeet Thorat, Senior Counsel a/w. Ms. Surekha Sonawane, for Respondent No. 3 – BMC.

Mr. Cyrus Ardeshir a/w. Ms. Prachi Dhanani a/w. Mr. Shyam Gopal i/b. Veritas Legal, for Respondent No. 6.

CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.

DATE : NOVEMBER 24, 2016

PC.:

. None for the Petitioner. We find that on earlier occasion also the Petitioner was not present. He was also issued notice to appear. However, in spite of notice, the Petitioner is not present.

2. We have gone through the prayers made in the Petition and we find that the relief claimed in the Petition is vague in nature. The averments made in Petition are also not clear and are vague. Be that as it may, since no one is appearing for the Petitioner, the Petition

stand dismissed for want of prosecution. In view of this, the Application No. 29 of 2013 also stands disposed of.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)