

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3815 OF 2016
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO.11839 OF 2014

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
Advocate for the Applicant Present. <u>CORAM : AJIT N. MARE,</u> <u>REGISTRAR (JUDL – II)</u> Date : 1st September, 2016 On verification of cause title of First Appeal and its Civil Application with title of original proceedings, it is seen that address of Respondent No.5 is one and the same. The Notice issued to Respondent No.5 is received unserved with remark "Incomplete address". Respondent No.5 was Opposite Party in the proceedings before the Commissioner for Workmen's Compensation & Judge, Labour Court, Mumbai and he was served on the same address. Hence, it is evident that Respondent No.5 cannot be served by regular mode of service. Hence, there is no other way to serve Respondent No.5 except by way of Publication of Notice in	

local Newspapers as mentioned in prayer clause of Civil Application.

In view of this, Civil Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). Issue Publication Notice to Respondent No.5 returnable after 8 weeks.

sd/-
Registrar (Judl.-II)

dsm – R(J-II) – 01.09.16