

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.8002 OF 2014

Rohan Rajaram Koli

...Petitioner

Versus

Central Council of Indian Medicine
through its President, Jawahar Lal
Nehru Bhartiya Chikitsa Avam
Homeopathy Anusandhan Bhavan &
Ors.

...Respondents

...

Mr. Ramkrushan Yedave i/b. Mr. Vijay Killedar for the Petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Kakade, AGP for Respondent Nos.3 and 4.

Mr. U.P. Warunjikar for Respondent No.6.

**CORAM: RANJIT MORE &
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATED: 7th JUNE, 2016.

PC:-

Having heard learned counsel appearing for the respective parties, we find that the Petitioners have an alternate and efficacious remedy of appeal which will lie before the Grievances Committee constituted under section 53 of the Maharashtra University of Health Sciences Act, 1998. In that view of the matter, we are not inclined to entertain these writ petitioners and exercise our writ jurisdiction under

Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Resultantly, writ petitions are dismissed.

If any appeal as contemplated under section 53 of the said Act is filed, the Grievances Committee shall deal with the same and decide it as expeditiously as possible and preferably within the period of 6 months of its filing. We clarify that we have not expressed any opinion on the rival contentions and the pleas raised in the petitions and they are kept open to be canvassed before the Grievances Committee.

(SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI,J.)

(RANJIT MORE, J.)