

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

***CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2027 OF 2015
IN
SECOND APPEAL (ST) NO. 20595 OF 2015***

Smt.Satyawati @ Manorama Ramchandra Palav ... Applicant

v/s

Shri Baba Bhulo Kharat & ors.

... Respondents

Mrf.Satish Raut for the applicant.

None present for the respondents.

Coram: N.M. Jamdar, J.

Dated: 12 August 2016

P.C.:

Perused the application. The Respondents are served. The application is dismissed as against Respondent No.2. Learned counsel for the Applicant states that Respondent No.2 was a Co-Defendant and the dismissal of the application as against Respondent No.2 will not affect the prayer made in the present application. The cause made out for delay of 29 days having been found sufficient, the civil application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

2 The second appeal be placed on board as per the C.M.I.S. date as per its turn and categorization.

(N. M. Jamdar, J.)