

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2085 OF 2016
in
WRIT PETITION NO. 9440 OF 2014

Shriniwas @ Shripa Narayan Prabhune and ors. ...Applicants
In the matter of
Rajendra Panditrao Saraf Petitioner
versus
Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation and ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Uday P. Warunjikar, advocate for the applicants.
Mr. S. S. Kulkarni, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak R. More, advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 16th SEPTEMBER, 2016.

P. C. :

Heard learned counsel appearing for the respective parties.

2. The action which is impugned in the above writ petition is at the instance of the present applicants. The civil application is, therefore, allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and is disposed of as such.

3. Necessary amendment be carried out within a period of one week from today.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 9440 OF 2014**

Rajendra Panditrao SarafPetitioner
versus
Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation and ors. ...Respondents

Mr. S. S. Kulkarni, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak R. More, advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
Mr. Uday P. Warunjikar, advocate for the applicants in civil application
No.2085 of 2016.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 16th SEPTEMBER, 2016.

P. C. :

At the request of learned counsel appearing for the respective parties, stand over to 27th September, 2016.

2. To be heard along with writ petition No.4383 of 2013.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]