

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8675 OF 2014

Sangita Sangameshwar Bembalgi

..Petitioner

v/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Mr.M.N.Sandhyanshiv for the Petitioner.

Mr.P.P.Kakade, AGP for the State.

Mr.Vijay Killedar for the Respondent No.3.

Mr.A.R.Kapadnis for the Respondent Nos.4 and 7.

CORAM : RANJIT MORE &

SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.

DATED : JULY 25, 2016.

P.C.

1. Heard. Mr. Killedar, learned Counsel for the respondent no.3, upon instructions makes a statement that the petitioner was not granted approval because some other teacher by name Birajdar was to be absolved with respondent no.4. He submits that said Birajdar has now been absolved somewhere else. He further states that if the respondent nos.4 and 5 makes a fresh proposal for petitioner's approval, the approval decision would be taken within the period of

eight weeks from the date of receipt of approval.

2. The petitioner is agreeable to this suggestion of the respondent no.3. Mr. Kapadnis, learned Counsel for the respondent nos. 4 and 5 also undertakes to make such proposal to the respondent no.3 within the period of two weeks from today. Statement accepted.

3. In the light of the above, we dispose of the petition by passing following order:

i) The respondent nos.4 and 5 shall make a fresh proposal to the respondent no.3 for petitioner's approval as Assistant Teacher, within the period of two weeks from today.

ii) The respondent no.3 shall take appropriate decision on the above proposal within the period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the proposal and shall communicate the decision to the respondent nos.4 and 5.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

(RANJIT MORE, J.)