

Urmila Ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 9191 OF 2016

Balasaheb Haribhau Kharpude .. Petitioner
Vs.
The District Collector and ors. .. Respondents

Mr.Bhushan Walimbe, for the Petitioner.
Ms.Rupali M. Shinde, AGP for State.

**CORAM : SHANTANU.S.KEMKAR &
M.S.KARNIK, JJ.
DATE : 24th OCTOBER, 2016**

P.C. :

Not on board. Upon mentioning taken on production board.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that though Sub-Divisional Officer, Khed (Rajguru Nagar) vide letter dated 31/07/2015 – Exhibit 'F' has directed the Tahsildar, Khed as also Block Development Officer, Rajguru Nagar, Tahsil - Khed, District Pune to remove the unauthorised construction being carried out as mentioned in the complaint made by the petitioner. Thereafter also vide letter dated 20/02/2016

Exhibit 'H', the similar directions were issued to the authorities i.e. respondents No.3 & 5, but no action has been taken on it. It is also the case of the petitioner that the hearing of the matter was kept on 18/03/2016, but notice of the same was given to the petitioner on 06/04/2016.

3. By that as it may, as we find that the respondent No.2 had already directed respondents No.3 & 5 to take appropriate action on the petitioner's complaint, we dispose of this Petition by directing respondents No.3 & 5 to look into the petitioner's grievance as per the directions issued by respondent No.2 and take appropriate steps in regard to the same after giving due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as also to the person concerned with the raising of the alleged unauthorised construction. Let the entire exercise be completed within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. With the aforesaid directions, Petition is disposed of.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(SHANTANU.S.KEMKAR, J.)