

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2333 OF 2016**

Santosh Mohan Rajput

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

.. Respondent

....
Ms. Rohini Dandekar Advocate appointed for Petitioner
Mrs. U.V.Kejriwal A.P.P. for the State
....

**CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI AND
MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR, JJ.**

DATED : AUGUST 10, 2016

P.C.:

1 Heard both sides.

2 The petitioner preferred an application for parole on the ground of illness of his wife. The application was preferred on 14.3.2016. The grievance of the petitioner is that the police report is not received. The learned A.P.P. states that as the petitioner wanted to spend his parole period in the State of Gujarat, report was called from the State of Gujarat. The said report which is dated 24.6.2016, is received by the office of the Divisional Commissioner on 4.7.2016. In this view of the

matter, the only direction which we feel it necessary is that the application of the petitioner for parole, be disposed of as expeditiously as possible by the concerned authorities.

3 Writ petition is disposed of in above terms.

4 Office to communicate this order to the petitioner who is in Nashik Road Central Prison, Nashik.

5 Legal fees to be paid to the appointed advocate is quantified at Rs.2500/-.

[MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.]

[SMT. V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.]

kandarkar