

vat

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION No. 6157 OF 2010

Union of India and Anr.	...Petitioners
Vs.	
Shri Ajay G. Ubale	...Respondent

None appears for the Petitioners
Mr.R.R. Shetty for the Respondent

**CORAM : V. M. KANADE &
M.S. SONAK, JJ.**

DATE : JUNE 6, 2016

P.C.

1. Heard Shri Shetty, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondents. None appears on behalf of the Petitioners.
2. The Respondent had filed the O.A., challenging his transfer, which was contrary to the National Transfer Policy. The Central Administrative Tribunal had accepted the contention of the Respondent and allowed the O.A. filed by him.
3. The Petitioners have challenged the impugned order by filing writ petition under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India. Thereafter the Respondent was transferred on several occasions in

(WP 6157 of 2010)

accordance with law. Hence, we, therefore do not see any infirmity in the impugned order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal. Hence, writ petition is disposed of and rule is, accordingly, discharged.

[M.S. SONAK, J.]

V. M. KANADE, J.]