

ssp

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1962 OF 2015 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.5397 OF 2015

Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
--	---------------------------

Mr.Eknath Chimaji Jagtap the Applicant in person
Mr.V.S.Gokhale, AGP for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4.

CORAM : A.S.OKA, &
C.V.BHADANG,JJ.

DATE : JANUARY 11, 2016.

P.C.

1 The applicant appears in person. When a query is made to the applicant appearing in person as to what is the relationship between the applicant with Shri Mukesh Popatlal Gada as well as with Shri Mahendra V. Kawchale, he states that he has no relationship with both the persons and he has appointed them as his Constituted Attorneys to argue the writ petition merely because they are known to him. He states that he cannot afford the services of an Advocate.

2 A Constituted Attorney of a party

to the proceedings can be allowed to appear and argue only with the leave of the Court. In view of the above statement, we cannot allow Shri Mukesh Popatlal Gada and Shri Mahendra V. Kawchale to represent the applicant and argue the writ petition filed by the applicant. Hence, the Application is rejected. We grant time to the applicant either to engage an Advocate or to make an application for grant of legal aid. To enable the applicant to take appropriate steps, we adjourn this writ petition till 22nd February 2016. To be listed under the caption 'Fresh Admission'.

(C.V.BHADANG,J.)

(A.S.OKA,J.)