

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION No. 148 OF 2015

CITZEN's Unity Forum, Panvel Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.	...	Petitioners
	...	Respondents

Mr. N. C. Walimbe, AGP for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 5.

Mr. Sharan Jagtiani i/b M/s. Divya Shah Associates, for Respondent No. 6.

CORAM : V. M. KANADE, &
Smt. SWAPNA S. JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 1, 2016

PC.

1. None appears on behalf of the Petitioners. The Petitioners have filed the present PIL, seeking following reliefs:

“(a) that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other writ of appropriate nature and pass an order or direction directing the respondents to direct the respondent to remove the impediments and encroachments to ensure hassle free traffic movement from Palspephata – Panvel

to Kalamboli-Rohinjan and upto Shilphata; and

- (b) that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other writ of appropriate nature and pass an order or direction directing the CIDCO Ltd. to submit a detailed report of current status of encroachment with its remedies and suggestions.
- (c) that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to take stringent deterrent action against concerned authorities who have not performed their duties even at par.
- (d) Interim and ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause (a) to (c) above be granted;
- (e) any other further order and / or direction be given as the nature and circumstances of the case may require.”

2. We are afraid that we will not be in a position to give a direction, which is sought by the Petitioners while exercising our writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. PIL is, therefore, dismissed.

Sd/-

[Smt. SWAPNA S. JOSHI, J.]

Sd/-

[V. M. KANADE, J.]