

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 6400 OF 2015

Rashtravadi Suraksha Rakshak and General
Kamgar Union and another.

... Petitioners.

V/s.

The Security Guards, Board for Greater Mumbai
and Thane Districts and another.

... Respondents.

C.S.Patil i/b.Global Juris Consults for the petitioners.

Meelan Topkar for respondent No.1.

V.S.Gokhale, AGP for respondent No.2.

CORAM : A.S. OKA AND C.V.BHADANG, JJ

DATED : 29th January 2016.

PC. :

Not on board. Taken up on board.

2. Perused the prayers (a), (b), and (bb) of the petition. The petitioners have not disclosed in the petition as to which selected candidates or which prospective security guards they are representing. The prayers made are very vague.

3. In the petition, there is an averment that 6,437 candidates have been selected. It is not clear whether any of the selected candidates have authorized the petitioners to file the present petition on their behalf or any of the candidates are the members of the petitioners.

4. Unless the petitioners make out a case that they are entitled to represent certain selected persons/ candidates, this petition cannot be entertained. Hence we decline to entertain this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Petition is, accordingly, rejected.

5. This order will not prevent the petitioners from filing a fresh petition making out a clear case of authority to represent specific selected candidates.

(C.V. BHADANG, J)

(A.S.OKA, J)