

Anand

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.344 OF 2016
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION(ST) NO.310 OF 2016**

Yusuf M. Talwala .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & anr. .Respondents

Mr.Aniket U. Nikam i/b. Mr.C.S.Damre, Advocate,
for the Applicant
Mrs.P.P.Shinde, APP, for the Respondent No.1 –
State

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

DATE : 17.06.2016

P.C.

. Mentioned. Not on board. Taken on
board.

2. Heard learned counsel for the
Applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the Applicant
submits that the Appeal preferred by the
Applicant against the Judgment and Order of the

trial Court convicting him for the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act came to be dismissed only on the ground that the Applicant had failed to serve the Respondent No.2 (Original Complainant). Vide order dated 14.06.2016, I had issued notice to the Respondent No.2 in the aforesaid Revision Application, returnable on 05.07.2016.

4. Considering the peculiar facts of this case, the Applicant's sentence is suspended and the Applicant is enlarged on bail pending the disposal of the aforesaid Cri. Revision Application, on the following terms & conditions:

O R D E R

(i) The Applicant is enlarged on bail on his furnishing P.R.Bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one or two solvent sureties in the like amount in the trial Court.

5. The Application is allowed & disposed of.

Parties to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)