

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.243 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.845 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.244 OF 2014
In
WRIT PETITION NO.845 OF 2005

Mr. Vilas Shantaram Kulkarni

..... Applicant

V/s

The State of Maharashtra

..... Respondent

Mr. Niranjan S. Mundargi for the Applicant/Petitioner.

Dr. F.R. Shaikh, APP for the Respondent/State.

CORAM : A.S. OKA &

A.A. SAYED, JJ.

DATED : 16 AUGUST 2016

ORDER:

1 Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant. Perused the averments made in both the Applications. In Criminal Writ Petition No.845 of 2005 filed by the Applicant, on 1 February 2008 Rule was issued after hearing the learned APP. On 14 August 2008 the said Writ Petition was dismissed as the Advocate for the Applicant did not appear.

2 Only with a view to ensure that the Applicant should not suffer because of absence of his Advocate that we are inclined to restore the Writ Petition.

3 Accordingly, Criminal Application No.243 of 2014 is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and Criminal Application No.244 of 2014 allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b).

(A.A. SAYED, J.)

(A.S. OKA, J.)

katkam