

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 934 OF 2016

Shri Samiruddin K. Shaikh & Others. ... Applicants.
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent.

Mr. Santosh S. Musale, Advocate i/by Dayanand C. Awari,
Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. S.S. Pednekar, APP for the State.

Mr. Pawan Mali, Advocate for the Original Complainant.

CORAM : A. M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 06th JUNE, 2016

P.C. :

Heard the learned counsel for the Applicant as well as learned APP. Averments made in the instant application go to show that during pendency of the application for anticipatory bail in Cri. M.A. No.1828 of 2016 before the learned Sessions Judge, Pune, this application is simultaneously moved by the Applicants / Accused. Prayer made in this application is only for grant of ad-interim anticipatory bail. When Criminal M.A. No. 1828 of 2016 for the same relief is pending before the learned Sessions Judge, Pune, this application cannot be entertained. Hence, this application is disposed of.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)