

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6038 OF 2013

Mr. Dheerajkumar S/o. Late	}	
M. L. vishwakarma	}	Petitioner
versus		
The State of Maharashtra	}	
and Anr.	}	Respondents

Ms. Devki R. Sahu i/b. Mr. Ashok M.
Saraogi for the petitioner.

Ms. Aparna Vhatkar – AGP for respondent
no. 1.

Mr. Kusumakar Kaushik for respondent
no. 2.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B. P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.
DATED :- NOVEMBER 18, 2016**

P.C. :-

1. When this matter was called out in the morning session, Mr. Saraogi was busy in another court. His junior requested either for adjournment or to take this matter post recess. Post recess, when it was called out, it is stated that Mr. Saraogi is not available. The junior advocate is also not in a position to obtain instructions from the petitioner. Since filing of the petition, the petitioner has not bothered to instruct the advocate. In these circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.) (S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)