

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.872 OF 2016

Amar Balasaheb Chuodhari	..Applicant
V/s.	
The State of Maharashtra	.. Respondent

Mr.Ghanasham S. Jadhav for the applicant.
Mr.H.J. Dedia APP for Respondent-State.

**CORAM : A. S. GADKARI, J.
(VACATION COURT)**

DATE : 16TH MAY 2016

P.C.

1. The applicant is apprehending arrest in C.R.No. I-147 of 2016 registered with Hadapsar Police Station, Pune for offences punishable under sections 452, 324, 506(2) and 504 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that the applicant was intending to marry Miss.Priyanka, daughter of the informant. The said marriage was opposed by the parents of the said Priyanka. Her marriage was subsequently arranged at village Khed Shivapur. However, the applicant showed some

photographs of said Miss.Priyanka to the said person and therefore the marriage was broken. The informant, therefore lodged C.R.No.72 of 2016 under sections 354(D), 500 and 506 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and section 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012. The applicant and his family members were granted pre-arrest bail by the Sessions Court at Pune in the said crime. That on 11-03-2016, at about 00.30 a.m., the applicant along with his father and other relatives committed criminal trespass in the house of the complainant. The applicant whipped out a knife from the back pocket of his pant and assaulted the informant and her daughter, namely, Priyanka. In the premise the FIR is lodged.

4. I have perused the record and case papers which are produced by the learned APP. The Medical Certificate issued by the Medical Officer, Rural Hospital, Rui, Taluka-Baramati discloses that Miss. Priyanka has suffered two CLWs and the doctors had referred her to undergo surgery. The weapon used in the present crime is yet to be recovered from

the applicant. The allegations against the applicant are serious in nature. The applicant has committed criminal trespass in the house of the complainant and has assaulted the first informant and her daughter with a knife.

5. After taking into consideration the serious allegations against the applicant and the gravity of the offence, in my considered opinion, this is not a fit case for grant of pre-arrest bail. The application is devoid of any merits and is accordingly rejected.

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)