

vks

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.1024 OF 2016
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.420 OF 2016
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.1024 OF 2016

Shashikant Jagannath More	 Applicant
V/s.	
The State of Maharashtra	 Respondent
and	
Sandip Sadashiv Panaskar	.. intervener.

Mr. Tejas Hilage, for the Applicant.
Mr. Arfan Sait, APP for the Respondent State.
Mr. Amit A. Gharte, for the intervener.

CORAM : A. M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 12th JULY, 2016.

P.C. :

1. This is the second bail application of the applicant/accused, in Session Case No.74 of 2015. The offence alleged against present applicant is under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code. The trial is pending on the file of learned Additional Sessions Judge Kolhapur.
2. It is seen that during pendency of the sessions trial bearing

No.74 of 2015, applicant/accused had filed Bail Application No.1996 of 2015, before this court. On 5th January, 2016 the said application came to be rejected. Paragraph No.2 of the said order reads thus :-

“2. Thus, the material on record prim facie discloses the involvement of the Applicant in committing offence punishable under section 302 of the IPC, which is of grave nature. The witnesses including some of the neighbours, are yet to be examined. Releasing the applicant on bail, at this stage can hamper the trial. Considering the nature of the allegations against the applicant and the gravity of the offence, the applicant is not entitled for bail. The application is, therefore, dismissed”.

3. In view of rejection of earlier Bail application, which was filed after filing of chargesheet and committal of case to the Sessions Court, present application cannot be entertained. Therefore order.

Order

- I) Application is rejected.
- II) In view of disposal of main application, pending applications, if any stand disposed of accordingly.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]