

nsc.

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION NO.1931 OF 2013

Basveshwar Kala, Krida Sanskrutik And
Manoranjan Mandal

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr.Vijay Killedar, for the Petitioner.

Ms.S.D.Shinde, APP for the Respondent-State

***CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.***

DATE : 20th DECEMBER, 2016

P.C. :

1. The grievance made in this petition is that though petitioner is registered under the Societies Registration Act, 1860 and Bombay Public Trust Act, 1950, time and again police are interfering in the functioning of the social club. The objective of the club is to allow their registered members to attend the club in the prescribed time and participate in the

different games like Chess, Rummy, Bridge etc. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the club does not allow any activities which are prohibited in law. The grievance is that there is insistence by police machinery to obtain license under Section 33(w) of the Maharashtra Police Act, 1951 (for short Act). Learned counsel has referred to provisions of Section 2(9) and 2(10) of the Act.

2. It is submitted that in an identical matters this Court had protected the petitioners and passed orders.

3. In the facts, there cannot be a blanket order of injunction granted against the Investigating Agency directing them not to enter into premises of petitioner/social club.

4. We observe that as long as the petitioner continue to carry on activities, which are in accordance with law, there is no need for police to interfere. But, in case there is reasonable ground with the police, then in such circumstances, the concerned Agency would resort to appropriate steps to verify as to whether such social clubs are functioning in

accordance with law.

5. With these observations, petition stands disposed of.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

RANJIT MORE, J.