

nsc.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.844 OF 2016**

Nazma Begum Sayyed @Nazma Altaf Memon ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr.Ganesh Gole, for the Applicant

Ms.S.S.Kaushik, A.P.P for the Respondent-State

API – R.B.Dagade, Kurla Police Station, Mumbai.

***CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.
DATE : 8th JULY, 2016***

P.C. :

1. Learned APP states on instructions states that the applicant was arrested and has been subsequently enlarged on bail.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant disputes the said statement made by the learned APP. He submitted that there are only 2 witnesses left to be examined and therefore prays that a direction be given to the trial Court to dispose of the case, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within three months from the date of receipt of this order.

3. Considering the number of witnesses that are remained to be examined, the Trial Court shall make an endeavour to conclude the trial, as expeditiously as possible.

4. The Application is accordingly disposed of as withdrawn.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.