

dik

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 551 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13994 OF 2016**

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Janubai G Gondhali & Ors. ...Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 552 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13983 OF 2016**

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Hemla Bama Pethkar & Ors. ...Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 553 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13940 OF 2016**

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Shankar G Keni & Ors. ...Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 554 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13970 OF 2016**

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Shankar G Keni & Ors. ...Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 14006 OF 2016**

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Shankar Bama Pethkar & Ors. ...Respondents
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 556 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13988 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Shankar G Keni & Ors. ...Respondents
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 557 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13998 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Vatsala B Jadhav & Ors. ...Respondents
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 558 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 14001 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Chahubai R. Gawand & Ors. ...Respondents
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 559 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13956 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Balaram A. Patil & Anr. ...Respondents
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 560 OF 2016

**IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13977 OF 2016**

The State of Maharashtra	...Applicant
vs	
Mohan K. Pawar	...Respondent

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 561 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13963 OF 2016**

The State of Maharashtra	...Applicant
vs	
Dilip R. Keni	...Respondent

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 562 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13945 OF 2016**

The State of Maharashtra	...Applicant
vs	
Pramila Changa Keni & Ors.	...Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 563 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13913 OF 2016**

The State of Maharashtra	...Applicant
vs	
Shalik Rangu Joshi & Ors.	...Respondents

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 564 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13936 OF 2016**

The State of Maharashtra	...Applicant
vs	
Jaywant Krishna Bhagat & Ors.	...Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 565 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13931 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Ganpat Damodar Deshmukh & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 566 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13928 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Raja Z Joshi & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 567 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13924 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Shripad P Bhoir & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 568 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13918 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant
vs
Mohan D. Gawand & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 569 OF 2016
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION (ST) NO. 13915 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant

VS

Bhau Hemla Bhingarkar & Ors.

...Respondents

.....

Mr Amit Palkar AGP for the Applicants in all CAs
None for the Respondents.

.....

CORAM : N. M. JAMDAR J.

2 SEPTEMBER, 2016

P.C. :

Perused the applications. The delay in filing the Civil Applications are condoned. The Civil Applications are disposed of accordingly.

2 The Revision Applications are taken up for consideration forthwith. The revisions are filed by the State of Maharashtra seeking to set aside the orders passed by the Registrar (Judicial) dismissing the First Appeals on the ground that court fees were not paid. The learned AGP states that the provision for payment of court fees has now made.

3 Considering the fact that the First Appeals arise from land acquisition proceedings and cogent reasons are made out, the Revision Applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause (b). If the court fees are not paid within period of six weeks from today, then the earlier order dismissing the First Appeals will stand restored.

(N. M. JAMDAR J.)