

vat

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION No. 3906 OF 2003

Union of India and Ors.

....Petitioners

Vs.

Ramchandra B. Shinde and Ors.

...Respondents

None appears on behalf of the Petitioners

Mr. Suresh Kumar a/w. Mr. D.A. Dube for Union of India

Ms. Nita Masurkar for Respondent No.2

***CORAM : V. M. KANADE &
M.S. SONAK, JJ.***

DATE : JUNE 6, 2016

P.C. :

1. None appears on behalf of the Petitioners.
2. The Union of India has challenged the order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, directing the Petitioners to pay the interest to the Respondents from the date of their retirement till the date of actual payment of their retirement dues. We have perused the impugned order. The Central Administrative Tribunal has given cogent reasons in paragraph Nos. 8 to 11, pointing out why the Respondents were entitled to claim interest.

3. Hence, we do not see any reason for interfering with the impugned order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal and as such we are not inclined to entertain this petition. The writ petition is dismissed. Rule is discharged.

M.S. SONAK, J.

V.M. KANADE, J.