

ssp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.135 OF 2016 IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.83 OF 2010

Stanley Victor Pereira ...Applicant
vs.
Milred Stanley Pereira ...Respondent

Mr.Mahesh Rawool i/b Mr.P.B.Shah for the applicant-
husband
Ms S.I.Jayakar (Lalwani) for the respondent-wife

CORAM : A.S.OKA, &
A.A.SAYED, JJ.
DATE : AUGUST 11, 2016

P.C.:

1 The prayer clause (a) is worked out with the passage of time. As far as prayer clause (b) is concerned, the learned counsel for the respondent states that the respondent is willing to comply with the directions under the impugned Decree dated 31st March 2010 by giving access to the applicant to meet the minor child. Her submission is that after disposal of the matter, the Family Court does not permit the parties to use the premises of the Family Court for grant of access. Correctness of this contention is disputed by the respondent. We accept the statement made by the respondent that she is willing to give access to the Applicant to meet the minor child in terms of the impugned Decree.

2 We direct the Registrar of the Family Court at Bandra, Mumbai to permit the applicant to meet the

minor child in the premises of the Family Court in terms of the impugned Decree dated 31st March 2010.

3 The Registrar of the Family Court to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

(A.A.SAYED,J.)

(A.S.OKA,J.)