IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4857 OF 2016

Latika Lalasaheb Kakade.] ..Petitioner.

Versus

1] The Chief Executive Officer,]
Zilla Parishad, Pune, Pune.]
]

2] The Chief Executive Officer,]
Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri, Ratnagiri.]
]

3] The State of Maharashtra,]
Through the Secretary,]
Department of Rural Development,]
Mantralya, Mumbai – 400 032.] ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2963 OF 2015

Smt. Vidya Baban Raskar] ..Petitioner.

Versus

1] The Chief Executive Officer,]
Zilla Parishad, Pune, Pune.]
]

2] The Chief Executive Officer,]
Zilla Parishad, Satara, Satara.]
]

3] The State of Maharashtra,]
Through the Secretary,]
Department of Rural Development,]
Mantralya, Mumbai – 400 032.] ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2965 OF 2015

Smt. Jayshree Madhukar Lohkare.] ..Petitioner.

Versus

1] The Chief Executive Officer,]
Zilla Parishad, Pune, Pune.]
]

2] The Chief Executive Officer,]
Zilla Parishad, Raigad, Dist Alibaug.]
]

3] The State of Maharashtra,]
Through the Secretary,]
Department of Rural Development,]
Mantralya, Mumbai – 400 032.] ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3223 OF 2015

Smt. Varsha Nagnath Satpute.] ..Petitioner.

Versus

1] The Chief Executive Officer,]
Zilla Parishad, Pune, Pune.]
]

2] The Chief Executive Officer,]
Alibaug Zilla Parishad, Raigad.]
]

3] The State of Maharashtra,]
Through the Secretary,]
Department of Rural Development,]
Mantralya, Mumbai – 400 032.] ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3224 OF 2016

1] Sharadkumar Bhaskar Khandekar]

2] Sachin Ashok Lawande.]

3] Manoj Dada Wable.] ..Petitioners.

Versus

1] The Chief Executive Officer,]
Zilla Parishad, Pune, Pune.]
]

2] The Chief Executive Officer,]
Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri, Ratnagiri.]
]

3] The State of Maharashtra,]
Through the Secretary,]
Department of Rural Development,]
Mantralya, Mumbai – 400 032.] ..Respondents.

Mr. S. S. Pakale i/b Mr. A.R. Belge for the Petitioners.
Mr. S. J. Raikar for Respondent No.1- Pune Zilla Parishad.
Mr. Asif Patel, AGP for Respondent No.3 – State of Maharashtra.

Coram : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
RANJIT MORE, JJ.
Date : **May 5, 2016**.

P. C. :

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. By consent, writ petitions are forthwith taken up for final hearing and disposal.

2. Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the respective parties. All these petitions are decided by this common order.

3. The Petitioners claims that they were appointed as Shikshan Sevaks in Respondent No.2–Zilla Parishad and are presently working thereat. The Petitioners are seeking transfer to Zilla Parishad, Pune on the basis of Government Resolution dated

29th September 2011.

4. Learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioners states that the question involved in these writ petitions has been considered and decided by the Division Bench of this Court by its judgment dated 3rd March 2015 in the case of *Lilabai Vasant Talekar vs. CEO, Zilla Parishad, Pune [Writ Petition No. 5779 of 2014]*.

5. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners has pointed out that Respondent No.1 has filed an affidavit in which they have given details about the Petitioners in all these petitions. On the basis of the aforesaid, he submits that the Petitioners are similarly situated with the Petitioner in writ petition in *Lilabai's case (supra)*. This fact is not disputed by the learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No.1.

6. Having heard submissions of the learned Counsel appearing for the respective parties and having gone through the documents placed on record and the judgment of this Court in *Lilabai's case (supra),* we are of the view that case of the Petitioners herein is similar to the Petitioner in *Lilabai's case (supra)*. In the circumstances, for the reasons stated in the order of this Court in *Lilabai's case (supra),* we allow all these writ petitions and direct the Zilla Parishad, Pune to absorb the Petitioners in any of its schools on the same terms and conditions

on which the Petitioners are presently working in their respective Zilla Parishads. That exercise be done by Pune Zilla Parishad on or before 31st May 2016. As soon as Pune Zilla Parishad informs the Petitioners about his/her absorption in any of its specified schools, the Zilla Parishads, where the Petitioners are presently working, should relieve the Petitioners from the post on which he/she is working in the respective Zilla Parishads.

7. Rule is made absolute in the aforestated terms.

[RANJIT MORE, J.] **[SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.]**