

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 662 OF 2016

Kalpesh Subhashbhai Shah	...	Applicant
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 663 OF 2016

Chintan Vasantbhai Shah	...	Applicant
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 664 OF 2016

Nikhil Subhashbhai Shah	...	Applicant
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

Mr. Rohit P. Sawant, Advocate for the applicants.

Mrs. P.P. Shinde, APP for the State.

Mr. Basit Ali Sayyed, P.I., D.B. Marg Police Station, Mumbai.

CORAM: **MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.**

DATE: **13th April, 2016.**

P.C.:

In all these cases, anticipatory bails were earlier granted where the applicants/accused were facing charges under sections 409, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 in C.R. No. 115 of 2015 of D.B. Marg Police Station. Thereafter the police filed the Applications for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicants/accused. The said Applications were allowed on 2nd April, 2016 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, 16th Court, Mumbai, against which again Anticipatory Bail Applications are filed. The said Anticipatory Bail Applications are not maintainable but the

applicants/accused have to challenge the order of cancellation of Anticipatory Bail Application passed by the learned Sessions Judge. Hence, Anticipatory Bail Applications are dismissed.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)