

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.116 OF 2013
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.71 OF 2013

Mrs. Poonam Pradip Shevkar Applicant

V/s

Mr. Pradip Hanumantrao Shevkar Respondent

Mr. U.B. Nighot for the Applicant.

Mr. Mahesh Thorat for Respondent.

CORAM : A.S. OKA &
A.A. SAYED, JJ.

DATED : 07 JUNE 2016

ORDER:

Perused prayer clause (a) which is the only prayer for interim relief. First part of the prayer clause cannot be considered as a decree for restitution of conjugal rights cannot be passed at this stage. As far as the second part of the prayer clause for interim maintenance is concerned, there is already an Application filed by the Applicant being Civil Application No.442 of 2014 in Family Court Appeal No.70 of 2013. The relief for maintenance can be considered in the said Application. Therefore, with these observations we dispose of this Application.

(A.A. SAYED, J.)

(A.S. OKA, J.)