

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.104 OF 2016
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.208 OF 2013

Mrs.Shobha Raju @ Ravindra Jadhav	Applicant
versus	
Raju @ Ravindra Jadhav	Respondent

Ms.Seema Sarnaik for Applicant.
Mr.Pravartak Pathak for Respondent.

CORAM : A.S.OKA AND P.D.NAIK, JJ.

DATE : 20th April 2016

PC :

Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned counsel for the Respondent. The appeal preferred by the Applicant for challenging the decree of divorce has been admitted. The appeal is filed within limitation. Hence, interim relief in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b) will have to be granted. Accordingly, Civil Application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b).

(P.D.NAIK, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)

MST

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.105 OF 2016
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.208 OF 2013

Mrs.Shobha Raju @ Ravindra Jadhav	Appellant
versus	
Raju @ Ravindra Jadhav	Respondent

Ms.Seema Sarnaik for Appellant.
Mr.Pravartak Pathak for Respondent.

CORAM : A.S.OKA AND P.D.NAIK, JJ.

DATE : 20th April 2016

PC :

Reply shall be filed on or before 18th May 2016. List the application for hearing on 22nd June 2016.

(P.D.NAIK, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)

MST