

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 623 OF 2016**

Vaijayanti Prakash Pawar	...Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Mr. Pavan Mali for the Applicant

Ms. Veera Shinde, A.P.P for the Respondent-State

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.
WEDNESDAY, 6th APRIL, 2016

P.C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned A.P.P.

2. By this application, the applicant seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with C.R. No. 373 of 2015 registered with the Sinnar Police Station, for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 468 r/w Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant states that similarly placed co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 5th April, 2016 passed in Anticipatory Bail Application No. 513 of

2016. He submits that the applicant is not the signatory to any of the documents. It is submitted that only because she was a party-defendant in the Suit, that she was arraigned as accused and that no role is assigned to her.

4. Learned A.P.P does not dispute the fact that the applicant is similarly placed or infact better placed than the applicant, who was granted anticipatory bail yesterday vide order dated 5th April, 2016. Hence, the application is allowed. The applicant is granted pre-arrest bail on the following terms and conditions :

ORDER

- (i) In the event of the arrest, the applicant be enlarged on bail on furnishing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount;
- (ii) The applicant shall report to the Investigating Officer as and when called, till the filing of the charge-sheet;
- (iii) The applicant shall not contact the complainant or any of the witness concerned with the case.

5. The Application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed of.
6. It is made clear that the observations made herein are *prima facie* and are confined to this application and the learned Judge to decide the case on its own merits, uninfluenced by the observations made herein.
7. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.