

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1475 OF 2015

Raja @ Raj Rannaiya Naidu	... Petitioner
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	... Respondent

Ms. Rohini Dandekar, Advocate appointed for the petitioner.
Mr. H.J. Dedhia, APP for the Respondent - State

CORAM: **SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI &
MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, JJ.**

DATE: AUGUST 25, 2016

P.C.:

1. Heard both sides.
2. Rule. By consent, Rule is made returnable forthwith.
3. The petitioner preferred an application for furlough on 13th January, 2014. As the petitioner wished to spend the period of furlough in the State of Tamilnadu, the police report was called for from the State of Tamilnadu. After receiving the police report, the application of the petitioner for furlough was granted by the order dated 31st October, 2014. The conditions imposed for release of the petitioner on furlough was that he had to furnish P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/-, one surety of a relative in sum of Rs.10,000/- and one other surety in the sum of Rs.10,000/-. The petitioner prayer that one surety be reduced and he made an application to that effect. The said application was rejected. Hence, this Petition.

4. Learned APP, on instructions, states that thereafter the petitioner furnished two sureties and he has been released on furlough on 13th June, 2016. The petitioner has enjoyed his furlough leave and thereafter he reported back to the prison.

5. In view of the above, this Petition is infructuous. Hence, Rule is discharged.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)

(V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.)