

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 278 OF 2016
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO. 934 OF 2015

Sarfaraz Rizvi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. Aniket Vagal, Advocate for the applicant.
Mrs. S.S. Kaushik, APP for the State.

CORAM: MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.
DATE: 4th April, 2016.

P.C.:

Not on board. Upon mentioning, taken on board.

2. This Application is moved by the applicant/accused praying that the time to furnish surety may be extended.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant/accused submitted that the applicant/accused was granted bail with a direction that he has to furnish one or two sureties for the bail amount, i.e., Rs.25,000/- by an order dated 5th October, 2015. The learned counsel submitted that the applicant/accused was transferred to U.P. Jail from 20th October, 2015 for some other offence. Now he is released on bail after furnishing the surety in the first week of March, 2016. Now he wants four weeks time to furnish

solvent surety.

4. Learned APP submits to the order of this Court.

5. In view of this submissions, time to furnish solvent surety is granted by way of last chance till 29th April, 2016. Other conditions remain the same.

6. The Application stands disposed of.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)