

ssp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.93 OF 2016 IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.61 OF 2009

Kirtida Ketan Shah ...Applicant
vs.
Ketan Suresh Shah ...Respondent

Ms Radhika Mehta for the applicant
Mr.H.T.Pawar for the respondent

CORAM : A.S.OKA, &
P.D.NAIK, JJ.
DATE : MAY 5, 2016

P.C.:

1 Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned counsel for the respondent-husband. The learned counsel for the respondent-husband on instructions of the respondent-husband who is personally present in the Court states that he has no objection if the prayer clause (a) is granted. He states that even today, entry of the applicant will be permitted to the premises mentioned in the prayer clause (a).

2 We accept the aforesaid statements made by the learned counsel for the respondent-husband. Needless to record that it will be the responsibility of the parties to ensure that no untoward incident is created after the applicant is allowed entry in the premises.

3 Accordingly, we dispose the application by
passing the following application :

(I) The statement made by the learned counsel
for the respondent-husband on instructions of
the respondent-husband is accepted;

(II) The application is allowed in terms of
prayer clause (a).

(P.D.NAIK,J.)

(A.S.OKA,J.)