

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.4190 OF 2016

Vikrant P. Gaikwad	..Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	
Through the Secretary,	
School Education Department,	
Mantralaya, Mumbai and Ors.	..Respondents

Mr. Kedar Lad, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Mali , AGP for the State.

**Coram : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
 RANJIT MORE, JJ.**

Date : 25th APRIL, 2016.

P. C. :

Parties through their counsel.

2 **Rule.** Rule made returnable forthwith. With consent of the parties, the matter is taken up for final hearing forthwith.

3 Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the impugned order dated 22.1.2016 passed by the Education Officer, (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Sangli, we find that the said authority has not applied its mind to the facts of case of the Petitioner and has passed the impugned order mechanically.

The order also appears to be non-speaking order.

4 Having regard to the aforesaid, we are inclined to dispose of this petition by setting aside the impugned order and by directing the said authority to pass reasoned order afresh after giving an opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner individually. The authority is expected to deal with all the grounds as may be raised by the Petitioner.

5 Petitioner to appear before the said authority on 5.5.2016. After hearing Petitioner, the authority is expected to pass speaking order within three months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

C.C. as per Rules.

[RANJIT MORE, J.]

[SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.]