

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1187 OF 2014

Sandeep Raghunath Patil

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

... Respondent

Mr.Prosper D'souza, Advocate appointed for Petitioner
Mrs.A.S. Pai, APP, Respondent – State

CORAM: **SMT.V.K. TAHILRAMANI &
MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, JJ.**

DATE: **JUNE 9, 2016**

P.C.:

1. Rule. By consent, Rule is made returnable forthwith.
2. The petitioner has prayed for furlough. It is the case of the petitioner that he preferred an application for furlough on 27.7.2013. The said application came to be rejected. Being aggrieved thereby, he preferred an appeal. The said appeal came to be dismissed by order dated 18.1.2014. Hence, this petition.
3. The learned APP, on instructions, states that thereafter the petitioner preferred an application for furlough which came to be granted and as on today, the petitioner is on furlough leave. In this view of the matter, we are not inclined to interfere. Rule is discharged.

4. Fees to be paid to the appointed advocate are quantified at Rs.2,000/-.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)

(V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.)