

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2364 OF 2011

Mr.Mansing Eknath Mahadik. ... Petitioner.

V/s.

The Chief Minister of State of Maharashtra,  
Mantralaya and others. ... Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10511 OF 2011

Shri Ramesh Attya Valvi. ... Petitioner.

V/s.

The Chief Minister of State of Maharashtra,  
Mantralaya and others. ... Respondents.

None for the petitioners in both the petitions.  
V.S.Gokhale, AGP for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA AND C.V.BHADANG, JJ**

**DATED : 14<sup>th</sup> January 2016.**

**PC. :**

When called out for final hearing, none appears for the petitioners. We have perused the prayers made in the petitions. A writ of mandamus is claimed directing the respondents to allot flats to the petitioners under 5% quota of the Hon<sup>ble</sup> Chief Minister. This Court in the case of ***Chandrabhan S. Sangle v. Urban Development Department and others***, (2014) 3 Bom.C.R. 692 and other connected matters has held that the policy of allotting 5% flats is arbitrary and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India. The said decision has become final. Therefore, no relief can be granted in these petitions.

2. Accordingly petitions are dismissed. Rule in both the petitions stands discharged. No order as to costs.

**(C.V. BHADANG, J)**

**(A.S.OKA, J)**