

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 412 OF 2016

complainant's face was fractured and thereafter the accused persons took the key of the car and went away.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant/accused submitted that applicant/accused was not present when the incident took place. He submitted that his brother has borrowed the loan and not he. He submitted that his brother Dhanaji is granted bail on 15th February, 2016 by the learned Sessions Judge, Kalyan. So, on parity, the applicant be granted pre-arrest bail.

4. Learned APP opposed the application. He produced the file of the investigation.

5. Perused the documents especially the medical certificate disclosing the fracture on the face of the complainant and his friend has received blunt injuries. It appears from the FIR and the statement of one Vijay Basare that the incident was planned by the applicant/accused and his brother. Under such circumstances, I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the applicant/accused. Hence, Anticipatory Bail Application is rejected.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)