

pdp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3929 OF 2016

Shri Motiram Vaman Madhavi

.. Petitioner

Vs.

City & Industrial Department Corporation
and ors.

.. Respondents

Mr. Sudhir Prabhu for petitioner.

Mr. B. B. Sharma for respondent nos.1 and 2.

Ms. R. A. Salunkhe, AGP for respondent no.3.

CORAM: NARESH H. PATIL &
M. S. KARNIK, JJ.

NOVEMBER 30, 2016.

P.C.

1. Petitioner submits that representation filed by the petitioner, annexed at Exhibit "C" to the petition, has not been replied. Learned counsel appearing for the CIDCO submits that the old construction has been already demolished and for a new construction, the petitioner ought to have applied for permission.

2. Without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the contesting parties, we observe that the CIDCO authorities may reply to the representation submitted by the petitioner on its own merits.

3. Petition is disposed of accordingly. However, parties to maintain status quo as on today for a period of two weeks.

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL,J.)