

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 3078 OF 2016**

Shree Kisan @ Krushna Maruti Karve and anr.Petitioners
versus
The Collector, Dist.Sangali and ors. ...Respondents

Mr. P. G. Sarda, advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. P. G. Sawant, AGP for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and 6 to 8.
Mr. V. V. Pai, advocate for respondent No.5.
Mr. M. A. Patil, advocate for respondent No.9.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 14th JUNE, 2016.

P. C. :

During the course of argument, Mr. Sarda, learned counsel for the petitioners, restricted his relief to prayer clause (c). Mr. Pai, learned counsel for respondent No.5 and Mr. Sawant, learned AGP for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and 6 to 8 submitted that the petitioners' representation is addressed to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Jath, and have no objection, if the same is directed to be disposed of expeditiously.

2. In the above circumstances, we dispose of this petition by directing respondent No.2 to decide the petitioners' representation dated 18th January, 2016, a copy of which is annexed at "Exhibit B", page 41, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

3. It is expressly made clear that we have not gone into the merits of the matter and all rights and contentions of the respective parties are kept open. The Sub-Divisional Officer shall decide the petitioners' representation independently on its own merits and in accordance with law.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]