

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2834 OF 2016

Mr. Pareshkumar Jayantilal Shah } Petitioner
versus
Bank of India and Ors. } Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2837 OF 2016

Shri Raja Singh Gupta } Petitioner
versus
Bank of India and Ors. } Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2840 OF 2016

Mrs. Jyoti Kailash Elag } Petitioner
versus
Bank of India and Ors. } Respondents

Mr. R. M. Pande for the petitioners in all
petitions.

Mr. Anant B. Shinde for respondent no. 1
in all petitions.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B. P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.

DATED :- OCTOBER 21, 2016

P.C. :-

1. Since the petitioners claim tenancy and in the premises
which are stated to have been equitably mortgaged in favour of
the first respondent bank by the borrower, in view of the multiple

remedies under the SARFAESI Act, the writ petition is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to approach the DRT or avail such other remedies as are permissible in law. The writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.) (S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)