

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 117 OF 2016
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 543 OF 2015
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 473 OF 2015**

Shri. Amar Chand Khazanaram Sharma ... Applicant

vs.

Abhay Rameshbhai Ravasa and anr. ... Respondents

Mr. B. D. Chauhan, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Kishor Bhatia, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Deepak Thakare, Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Coram : Smt. R. P. SondurBaldota, J.

Date : 26th February, 2016.

P. C. :

Both the advocates inform that the parties have settled dispute in terms of the Memorandum Of Understanding dated 2nd January, 2016 and apply for compounding of the offence. The revision applicant was filed an application for the purpose. The application has been treated by the office as an affidavit. Office to treat the same as an application and number the same. Mr. Chauhan, the learned advocate for the revision applicant requests that in the facts and circumstances of the present case where the

applicant had to raise the amount to be paid to the respondent by selling his residential house, the costs of 15% of the cheque amount to be paid pursuant to the decision of the Apex Court in the case of ***Damodar S. Prabhu vs. Sayed Babala H. reported in (2010) 5 SCC page 663***, be not awarded. The Memorandum Of Understanding is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. Application is allowed in terms of prayer Clause (a), (b), (c) and (d).

[Smt. R. P. SondurBaldota, J.]