

VPH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION No. 53 OF 2016

Adv. Amit Kisanrao Shinde ... Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

None for the Petitioner.

Mr. V. B. Thadani, AGP for the Respondent - State.

CORAM : V. M. KANADE, &
Smt. SWAPNA S. JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : AUGUST 19, 2016

PC.

1. None appears on behalf of the Petitioner. Perused the PIL, which is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. In this PIL, Petitioner is seeking following reliefs:

“(a) that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other writ and/or direction in the nature of writ thereby directing the Respondents to conduct Area Sabha meetings within the Municipal Corporation at Maharashtra as contemplated in the provisions of Maharashtra Municipal Corporation Act;

(b) that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to issue a writ

of mandamus or any other writ and/or direction in the nature of writ thereby removing the concerned Councillors of Municipal Corporations situated within the State of Maharashtra from working as a Councillor and/or to dissolve the municipal corporations situated within the State of Maharashtra;

- (c) that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a detailed guidelines with respect of delegation of power, proper finance, proper staff, infrastructure, systematic and institutionalized procedure, time frame and other necessary things for the implementation of provisions of Area Sabha as contemplated in the Maharashtra Municipal Corporation Act;
- (d) for costs of this Petition; and
- (e) for such further and other reliefs as the nature and circumstances of the case may require.”

2. We are afraid that the reliefs claimed by the Petitioners cannot be granted by us while exercising jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Public interest litigation is, therefore, dismissed.

Sd/-
[Smt. SWAPNA S. JOSHI, J.]

Sd/-
[V. M. KANADE, J.]