

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2141 OF 2016

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 990 OF 2016

Mrs. Maya Anil Malhotra	}	Petitioner
versus		
Authorised Officer/Chief	}	
Manager, Bank of India	}	
and Anr.	}	Respondents

Mr. Ashok d. shetty with Mr. Swapnil P.
Kamble and Mr. H. Shetty for the
petitioner.

(In Chamber)

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, JJ.

DATED :- DECEMBER 1, 2016

P.C. :-

1. The praecipe has been filed for speaking to the minutes of
the order dated 27th April, 2016.

2. It is stated that the concession recorded in our order passed
on 27th April, 2016, particularly on the availability of alternate
and equally efficacious remedy, be clarified because the petitioner
was insisting that the writ petition was the only remedy and it
was the case of the other side that it was not so maintainable.

3. What we have noted in our order and which must be read in its entirety that independent of what the parties contended, we have found that the dispute raised in this writ petition was not capable of being resolved in our limited jurisdiction. It is in these circumstances that the order has been passed. Therefore, there is neither any clerical error nor any ambiguity in our order. The application for speaking to the minutes is misconceived and it is dismissed.

(DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.) (S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)