

sas

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.109 OF 2016
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.29 OF 2008
WITH
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.49 OF 2008**

Rohini Anil Hariname and Ors. ..Petitioners.
V/s.
Anil RamchandraHariname and Anr. ..Respondents.

Mr. Sanjiv A. Sawant for the petitioners.

**CORAM : A.S.OKA AND
A.A.SAYED, JJ.
DATED : 21ST OCTOBER, 2016**

P.C. :-

1. The grievance of the petitioners is that the first respondent has committed breach of clause (ix) of the operative part of the final judgment and order dated 22nd April, 2015. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, on instructions of the first petitioner, who is present in the Court states that as during the pendency of the petition, the entire amount due and payable has been paid by the first respondent, the petitioners do not desire to press the petition.

2. In any event, in view of the payment of the outstanding amount, this is not a fit case to initiate action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

(A.A.SAYED, J.)

(A.S.OKA J.)