

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.4448 OF 2015

Rasika Ramesh Mandavkar

Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

Mr.Nikhilesh Pote for Petitioner.

Mrs.M.M.Deshmukh, APP, for State.

CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL AND
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.

DATE : 20th August 2016

PC :

1. The Petitioner seeks a direction to Respondent no.3 to transfer the investigation in CR No.15 of 2015. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that investigation has not been conducted properly in FIR No.15 of 2015 registered under Sections 120(b), 153(A), 342, 506, 34 and 323 of Indian Penal Code. He further submitted that 'B' summary report has been filed in the Court by the investigating officer. He further submits that the Petitioner has received notice of filing of summary from the Court.

2. In view of filing of 'B' summary report, keeping all issues open, we observe that the Petitioner may contest the said summary proceedings by filing appropriate protest petition.

3. We direct that concerned Magistrate shall pass appropriate final orders on 'B' summary proceedings at the earliest on its own merits. Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.212 OF 2014
IN
CRIMINAL PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.37 OF 2013

Jagdish Atmaram Damshet	Petitioner
versus	
Anshu Shinde and others	Respondents

Ms.Gayatri Singh, Senior Advocate, for Petitioner.
Mr.A.I.Patel, AGP, for State.

CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL AND
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.

DATE : 20th August 2016

PC :

Learned counsel for Petitioner states that contempt petition does not survive. In view of this statement, nothing remains for consideration. Contempt Petition stands disposed of as such.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)

MST