

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.2532 OF 2016

Mrs.Mugdha Niranjan Argade	...	Petitioner
V/s.		
Mr.Niranjan Charudatta Argade	...	Respondent

Mr.S.M.Kelkar i/b. Mr.Mandar Limaye for the Petitioner.
Mr.B.V.Holambe for the Respondent.

CORAM : M.S. SONAK, J.

DATE : 26th FEBRUARY, 2016.

P.C.

- . Not on board. Upon production, taken on board.
2. There is no necessity to entertain the present petition because the impugned order has merely directed the parties to meet the Marriage Counselor and to prepare some workable supervised access model for the child. This order does not mean or cannot be interpreted to mean that the supervised access or any access at all has already been granted by the Family Court.

3. Accordingly, the parties can always meet the Marriage Counselor and explain their respective view points and on the basis of the same, the Marriage Counselor can prepare the report, as he deems fit. Thereafter, the Family Court will obviously consider the report after offering both the parties appropriate and adequate opportunity of placing their view points before the Court.

4. The application for access will obviously be considered at the time of consideration of such report.

5. The learned Counsel for the respondent also agrees that this will be the appropriate mode of interpreting the impugned order.

6. With the aforesaid clarification, this petition is disposed of.

(M.S. SONAK, J.)