

AGK

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 25 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 187 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2 OF 2016

Neela Bernard Soans	...Petitioner
<i>Versus</i>	
Rajeev Garg & Others	...Respondents

Mr. Kartik I. Khandelwal, *i/b Khandelwal & Associates, for the*
Petitioner.

Ms. Seema Pawar, *i/b Mr. Arvind Singh, for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2.*

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G.S. PATEL, JJ.

DATED: 2nd February 2016

PC:-

1. After having heard both sides and finding that the child has been allowed to attend classes for 7th standard and would be continued as a bona fide student of the school till the end of this academic session as directed in the earlier order, nothing remains in the proceedings.

2. Every other grievance of the child or his parent cannot be redressed in writ jurisdiction directly. As and when that grievance arises or raised but not redressed, the Petitioner has remedies available in law.

3. For these reasons and in academic matters, so also not to influence and impact the mind of a child who is of a tender age, we do not think any contempt proceedings need to be initiated. The Contempt Petition is also disposed of.

4. In view of disposal of the Contempt Petition, nothing survives in the Civil Application and it is disposed of.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)