

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 143 OF 2014

Neville Minoo Bharucha ... Petitioner

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. M.D. Chavan for the petitioner.
Mr. D.P. Adsule, APP for the State.

**CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL AND
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.**

15th July, 2016.

P.C.

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. It is submitted that petitioner addressed a complaint on 23rd October, 2012. Learned Counsel appearing for petitioner submits that petitioner expired before couple of days. The grievance made by the petitioner would be prosecuted further.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we observe that aggrieved persons, if any, may resort to alternate remedy as may be available in law. With aforesaid observations, petition is disposed of.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)

L.S. Panjwani, P.S.